Costc	Costc (T)	Cipfa grp	Account	Account (T)	Original Budget	Budget Adjustments	Working Budget	Actuals	Variance	Budget Holder
A00	Economic Development Reason For Variance		451 ral undersp	Partnership grants end on provision of Grants and E	89,170 Exyernal Service	0 es payments	89,170	67,050	(22,120)	T. Warren
B00	Building Control Building Control Reason For Variance			External Services Fees for services is predominantly for the paymen derspend demonstrates a carefu						
K70	Consultation Reason For Variance	4 Fewe	424 r consultatio	External Services on exercises than planned were u	32,100 undertaken, res	(5,000) ulting in consultan	27,100 cy and survey s	7,895 avings.	(19,205)	N. Malin
L50 L50	Highways Agency Highways Agency <b>Reason For Variance</b>	under agree actual	take on the d to reimbu l income sh	Contributions - Oxfordshire Co Third Party Payments - Ground year we were in negotiations with ir behalf. In the past we have alw urse the full costs associated with yould, therefore, correspond. The ed in the 2005/06 financial year.	ays subsidised the work which	some of the cuts has resulted in in	to maintain a ce creased income	ertain standar e of £14,478.	d. The County	Council have / payments and
L80	Electoral Registration Reason For Variance	appro that h furthe	val. The pro e was unab r enquiries.	External Services s budget is for the conduct of the evious Monitoring Officer did all t ble to offer this as a saving this ye . I hope to hear from the EC befor unt to have new maps made up b	he work on the ear because, un re October appr	review and passe til the EC approve oving all the prop	d it to me for co the boundary c osed boundary	mpletion whe hanges, we r changes. Ond	n he left. My ι nay need to us	nderstanding is se the money for
M70	Local Land Charges Reason For Variance	4 This is resolv		External Services oney allocated for the next stage	16,020 of the Uniform s	0 system remaining	16,020 unspent. This w	2,385 ill be required	(13,635) d as soon as tl	S. Commins nose problems are

## Variances over £10,000

## Cipfa Original Budget Working grp Account Costc Costc (T) Account (T) **Budaet Adjustments Budaet** Actuals Variance **Budget Holder** M80 55.570 J.Howard 418 Stationery - Other Stationery 45.000 0 45.000 10.570 Reprographics 4 Reason For Variance Both budgets demand driven by customer requirements. N10 (440,000)Development Control 9 920 Fees For Services R. Hood (122.400)(562, 400)(612.199)(49,799)N10 423 13.366 **Development Control** 4 17.400 0 17,400 30.766 R. Hood Advertising Reason For Variance 920: Greater number of planning applications than expected received, paying higher fees under the new Government fee regime. 423: Greater number of applications requiring formal advertisement than expected. 145,860 138,422 N50 N. Davies Corporate Core 4 424 External Services 116,360 29.500 (7.438)N50 Corporate Core 9 955 Interest (13.000)0 (13.000)2.345 15.345 N. Davies N50 0 12.820 Corporate Core 4 438 **Bank Charges** 0 0 12,820 N. Davies Reason For Variance 424: Expenditure on this code covers a wide variety of corporate matters and it is not always possible to exactly calculate what will be required in any year. 955: The overspend relates to interest on a loan the Vale made the County Council in respect of the old mortuary. The interest should have decreased every year but 438: Bank unfortunately the same figure has been credited to the accounts since 1999/2000 charges should be left in a cost centre unapportioned. In 2006-07 they should be coded to N60 - unapportioned overheads. A budget will have to be created for them. P31 Wantage client 9 935 Leisure fees & charges 0 0 0 (41,580)(41, 580)C. Webb (18.034)C. Webb 948 9 Reimbursements - OCC 0 n 0 (18.034)Reason For Variance Income due to the Council for the period prior to the transfer of leisure centres to a contractor in 2004/05, not posted in that year. Faringdon Client P32 2 203 Repairs & maintenance of build 0 10.120 10.120 C. Webb (11,708)(21.828)**Faringdon Client** 5 506 Third Party Payments - Genera 0 170,160 170,160 192.396 22,236 C. Webb Reason For Variance 203: Accrual (£13.824) from 2004/05 not cleared. 506: A late invoice (June 05) for 04/05 was posted in 05/06 to the value of £14k. This related to operational expenditure from the old T63 code and was not budgeted for in the newly set up Client Codes where that expenditure is not required. Third Party Payments - Ground P91 Tilsley Client 5 502 15,900 15,900 27,330 C. Webb 0 11,430 P91 **Tilsley Client** 9 935 Leisure fees & charges 0 0 0 (30.012)(30.012)C. Webb Reason For Variance 502: Historic budget for this element is circa £28k. In 2004/05 the budget was reduced in error as a result of externalisation of the Management Function. The budget needs to be increased to £28k. This need was raised in 2004/05 935: Income due to the Council for the period prior to the transfer of leisure centres to a contractor in 2004/05, not posted in that year. T06 Mobile Home Parks 9 928 Commission (35.000)(48.640)(83.640)(96.545)L. Rodwav

Reason For Variance Overachieved commission income. Budget fluctuates according to the transactional value of assignments. This variance was noted through

Outturn Report Appendix B

Costc	Costc (T)	Cipf grp		Account (T)	Original Budget	Budget Adjustments	Working Budget	Actuals	Variance	Budget Holder
		regu shov	-	nonitoring. A budget adjustment w	as made for £4	18,640. A couple o	f transactions of	completed at y	year end creat	ed the variance
T13 T13	Prop Man - Non opera Prop Man - Non opera Reason For Variar	tion 9 nce T13 was mon be m savin T13 expe	identified the itored and co nore accuratings. There s 970: Two ac enditure in re	Rents - Other Property Service Charges was miscoded/misposted to this rough periodic budget monitoring. prected as they occurred through ely predicted this year. A budget a hould be no re occurrence next y crual entries for £49,000 & £66,0 lation to service charges. Repetit or expenditure and hence recover	In October an monthly liaiso adjustment was ear subject to o 00 respectively ion is likely due	d thereafter each r n meetings with F s proposed as part coding. v were made at the	month the natur inance. An exa c of the overall p e end of April. T	e of errors oc mination of th package of me	ed to another c ccurring on this ne account allo easures to effe ed an estimate	account was ows this income to ect corporate e of likely
T21	Licensing Reason For Variar	9 nce Budg		Licence Fees nt over estimated, as the number as this is the first year of applica		34,000 nge of licence deta	(106,710) ails and the nun	(131,462) ber of persor		<b>R. Paddocl</b> e application
			erestermated							
Г28 Г28 Г28	Recycling Recycling Recycling	unde 9 5 9 1 <b>ce</b> 949: 505: for th The resic	948 505 921 1 month's ir Underspend he whole yea original budy dents. The in	Reimbursements - Oxfordshire Third Party Payments - Recycl Fees For Use Of Facilities accome overaccrued. Actual income d due to the late start of various re ar. At the end of the year, only £78 get of £160K was the subject of a troduction of the 2nd phase of the ed this to £6-7K. Therefore by my	(402,200) 1,083,420 (160,000) te for year £35- ecycling schem Bk had been ex n SBCF, which e Brown Bin sc	es. The budget in pended on Brown reduced the expe heme was expected	cluded £167K f Bins. ected income to ed to bring in ar	fr payments r £116k due to additional £2	(108,455) 76,375 relating to the I	Brown Bin scheme 921: in charges to

Costc	Costc (T)	Cipfa grp		Account (T)	Original Budget	Budget Adjustments	Working Budget	Actuals	Variance	Budget Holder
		424 & Nover	948 are linke mber to reflect	the over recovery is due to the das the income relates to re t the reduction in costs to the by £83k and T29 948 by £59	imbursments from Vale along with r	n OCC for the disp	osal of abando	oned vehicles	. A SBCF was	submitted in early
Г31 Г31	Street Cleansing Street Cleansing	5 5		hird Party Payments - Gener hird Party Payments - Waste		0 0	115,900 778,230	93,333 787,041	(22,567) 8,811	A. Windus A. Windus
	Reason For Variand	overs	tated by appro	, there is £7,215 coded to 50 ox. £6,000 which reduces the (dog waste) has been coded	overspend in 503	3 to £2,800 and th	e underspend i	n 506 is redu	ced to £15,351	. Also, I have
-33 -33	Car park operations Car park operations Reason For Variand	9 9 <b>ce</b> Parkir	933 S	arking Fees eason tickets increased, It is now beneficia	(707,020) (84,400) Il to purchase a se	15,500 (10,000) eason ticket.	(691,520) (94,400)	(675,684) (117,187)	15,836 (22,787)	B. Mize B. Mize
43	CCTV Reason For Variant	4 The d		epairs & Maintenance of Fur cruing an invoice on this acc	,	(2,000)	12,500	34,861	22,361	B. Jone
	neason of variant			line variance on the cost ce						s decided that
Г46	Homelessness Reason For Variand	4 Ce	424 E	xternal services	21,500	12,000	33,500	13,981	(19,519)	P.Staines
			s grant receive	ed from the ODPM which has	s previously been	unbudgeted for.				
Г47	Enabling	9	901 G	overnment Grants	(716,100)	(20,000)	(736,100)	(717,182)	18,918	I. Forste
	Reason For Variand	•	•	and comprises of part subsiduction is not reflected in the	•				ouses is falling	and will be
51	Mortgages	9	946 C	apitalised salaries	0	0	0	(27,417)	(27,417)	H. Bower
	Reason For Variand	ce This is	s the allocatio	n of pool receipts acquired o	ver 2 years. The c	lebit is to capita re	eceipts to reduc	ce the amount	t payable to the	e Government.
Г52	Community Safety	4	461 C	ommunity Safety grants	0	0	0	76,013	76,013	B. Jones
	Community Safety		901 G							

Costc	Costc (T)	Cipfa grp	Account	Account (T)	Original Budget	Budget Adjustments	Working Budget	Actuals	Variance	Budget Holder
				ccount 901 is an over-recovery acome or the matching expendi		e against budget -	this appears be	ecause the Va	le does not bu	dget on T52 for
T56 T56	Benefit Fraud Benefit Fraud	9 9	904	Government Grants Overpayment Housing Benefit	(79,950) (17,040)	0 (32,410)	(79,950) (49,450)	(245,744) (115,508)	(165,794) (66,058)	H. Bowen H. Bowen
	Reason For Variance	we rea	cruited anoth	eived subsidy for finding more on ther fraud officer last year I woul lect this. I have asked The Ben	d expect the wo	rk in this area to h	ave increased.	However, it w	ould appear th	hat the budget was
T61	Abingdon outdoor pool Reason For Variance	9 No tov		Reimbursements - Town coun	<mark>(14,000)</mark> sure in 2005/06.	0	(14,000)	0	14,000	C. Webb
Т66	Parks & open spaces Reason For Variance	9 Wanta		Fees for use of facilities d Putt has a short season due t	(16,520) to staffing issues	0	(16,520)	(6,280)	10,240	I. Matten
T73	Assisted Transport Reason For Variance	Vale c	ariance is a ceased provi	Concessionary Travel result of actually not knowing w ding these concessions and pe ence the variance.						
T74	Community Grants	4		External Services	58,870	0	58,870	50,300	(8,570)	L. Edwards
	Reason For Variance	profit	making bodi	tion of £58,870 represents the es and some clubs. In previous at this would be the case in 200	years the budge	et has been debite	ed without any i	nstruction by t		
T76	Payment of Hsg Benefit	9	901	Government grants (net)	411,790	(159,660)	252,130	240,918	(11,212)	H. Bowen
	Reason For Variance	Revis	ed Housing	Benefit calculation slightly out (	0.8%), resulting	in a minor unders	pend			
T77	Payment of CTax Benefit	6	603	Non HRA rent rebates	3,957,050	80,000	4,037,050	4,061,474	24,424	H. Bowen
Т77	Payment of CTax Benefit	9		Government grants	(3,946,810)	(160,000)	(4,106,810)	(4,085,121)	21,689	H. Bowen
	Reason For Variance	Revis	ed Council T	ax Benefit calculation slightly c	out (0.6%), resul <sup>-</sup>	ting in a minor ove	erspend			
Т93	Direct Services Other	4	409	Materials	3,000	(1,000)	2,000	19,434	17,434	B. Farrar
	Reason For Variance	(See l	J14 explana	tion below)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•		
U02	Corporate Communication			External Services	14,910	0	14,910	28,864	13,954	N. Malin
	Reason For Variance	Incorr	ectly charge	d for edition of Waste Views wh	hich should have	come from the E	nvironmental H	lealth budget.		

Costc	Costc (T)	Cipfa grp	Account	Account (T)	Original Budget	Budget Adjustments	Working Budget	Actuals	Variance	Budget Holder				
U04	Stws & Pump Stations -	S 9	920	Fees For Services	(37,000)	0	(37,000)	(3,347)	33,653	B. Farrar				
	Reason For Varianc				(01,000)			(0,0 /						
U05	Stws & Pump Stations -	Ρ9	920	Fees For Services	(25,700)	0	(25,700)	(9,718)	15,982	B. Farrar				
L	Reason For Varianc	e (See	U14 explan	ation below)										
U09	Emptying Septic Tanks -		920	Fees For Services	(52,000)	(10,200)	(62,200)	(51,823)	10,377	B. Farrar				
	Reason For Varianc	e <sub>(See</sub>	U14 explan	ation below)										
U11	Emptying Septic Tanks	· {9	920	Fees For Services	(64,740)	(14,500)	(79,240)	(67,402)	<mark>11,838</mark>	B. Farrar				
l	Reason For Varianc	e (See	U14 explan	Reason For Variance (See U14 explanation below)										
U14	Sewer Blockages - VHA Reason For Varianc	e Cost		Fees For Services ,U04,U05,U09,U11 and U14		-		(42,949) Service Area	(13,599) was transferre	<b>B. Farrar</b> d to Building				
U14		e Cost o Contr Durin take p As the noted	centres T93 ol under spo g 2005-06, blace in the e working but that the finance s effect varia	,U04,U05,U09,U11 and U14 ecial measures defined within Direct Services commenced future. However, there was in udget was expecting a deficit al deficit resulted in only a £7 iances shown in the said cos	are all within the D in the Council's Med on a major overhau nsufficient time and of £145K in Jan 20 7K deficit (including	irect Service rang ium Term Financi Il in its operational scope to simplify 106 a saving of £5 g Address Manage	e of work. The s al Plan. and financial n the complex sy 0K was offered ement) represe	Service Area of nanagement. stem of recha to the end of nting an under	was transferre Many improve orging that exis year accounts rspend (or sav	d to Building ments are yet to ted previously. . It should be ing) of over £68K. Direct Service				
	Reason For Varianc	e Cost of Contr During take p As the noted To thi finance	centres T93 ol under spo g 2005-06, blace in the e working but that the finant s effect vari- cial stateme e number of	,U04,U05,U09,U11 and U14 ecial measures defined withir Direct Services commenced future. However, there was in udget was expecting a deficit al deficit resulted in only a £7 iances shown in the said cos nt. cost centre shall be reduced	are all within the D in the Council's Med on a major overhau nsufficient time and of £145K in Jan 20 7K deficit (including t centres above car	irect Service rang ium Term Financi Il in its operational scope to simplify 06 a saving of £5 g Address Manage not be analysed i will have more acc	e of work. The s al Plan. and financial n the complex sy 0K was offered ement) represen n isolation but r curate figures fo	Service Area v nanagement. I stem of recha to the end of nting an under ather read wit or income and	was transferre Many improve orging that exis year accounts rspend (or sav hin the whole expenditure.	d to Building ments are yet to ted previously. . It should be ing) of over £68K. Direct Service During 2006-				
U14 U29		e Cost of Contr During take p As the noted To thi finance	centres T93 ol under spig 2005-06, blace in the e working but that the finance s effect vari- cial stateme	,U04,U05,U09,U11 and U14 ecial measures defined within Direct Services commenced future. However, there was in udget was expecting a deficit al deficit resulted in only a £7 iances shown in the said cos nt.	are all within the D in the Council's Med on a major overhau nsufficient time and of £145K in Jan 20 7K deficit (including t centres above car	irect Service rang ium Term Financi Il in its operational scope to simplify 06 a saving of £5 g Address Manage	e of work. The s al Plan. and financial n the complex sy 0K was offered ement) represen n isolation but r	Service Area of nanagement. I stem of recha to the end of nting an under ather read wit	was transferre Many improve Irging that exis year accounts rspend (or sav hin the whole	d to Building ments are yet to ted previously. . It should be ing) of over £68K. Direct Service				

(342,669)